

Artificial Intelligence Project--RLE and MIT Computation Center

Symbol Manipulating Language--Memo 15

Examples of Proofs by Recursion Induction

by

John McCarthy

Recursion induction has turned out to have certain bugs and some restrictions have to be imposed. The proofs given in the sections of my notes reproduced below probably will turn out to satisfy whatever restrictions have to be imposed.

4. Recursion Induction. This is the main method for proving assertions about recursively defined functions. We state it as follows:

Suppose  $f$  is a function defined by the equation

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = E$$

where  $E$  is an expression which may contain  $f$ . Suppose that we wish to prove that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has some property for those  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for which it is defined. Assume that  $f$  has the property for the arguments occurring on the right side of the equation and prove on this hypothesis that it also has the property for the arguments  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then we may conclude that  $f$  has the property for all arguments for which it is defined.

Before justifying the rule, we will give an example of its application.

We define

$$f(n, m, p) = (m = n \rightarrow p, T \rightarrow f(n, m+1, (m+1)p))$$

where  $n, m$ , and  $p$  are integers. We shall prove that for any  $n, m, p$  for which  $f(n, m, p)$  is defined we have

$$f(n, m, p) = p.$$

Proof by recursion induction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{We have assuming } f(n, m+1, (m+1)p) &= \frac{n!}{m!} (m+1)p \\
 (m = n \rightarrow p, T \rightarrow f(n, m+1, (m+1)p)) &= (m = n \rightarrow \frac{n!}{m!} p, T \rightarrow \frac{n!}{(m+1)!} (m+1)p) \\
 &= (m = n \rightarrow \frac{n!}{m!} p, T \rightarrow \frac{n!}{m!} p) \\
 &= \frac{n!}{m!} p
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired formula. Hence the theorem.

The general reaction to proofs by recursion induction seems to be suspicion. However, we shall justify the method.

Let  $M$  be the class of  $n$ -tuplets  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for which  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = E$  is defined. We express it as the union of disjoint classes  $M_0, M_1, \dots$ , etc. defined as follows.  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is in  $M_0$  if the evaluation of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  does not involve the evaluation of any  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . (In the example  $M_0$  consists of the triplets  $(n, m, p)$  for which  $n = m$ .)  $M_k$  consists of those  $n$ -tuplets  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for which the evaluation of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  involves the evaluation of at least one  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  with  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in M_{k-1}$  and all  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  which occur in  $M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_{k-1}$ .

Suppose now that the hypothesis of recursion induction is satisfied. Then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has the desired property for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $M_0$  because the assumption made about  $f$  on the right side plays no role in computing  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . (In the example, transforming  $(m = n \rightarrow p, \text{ etc.})$  to  $(m = n \rightarrow \frac{n!}{m!} p, \text{ etc.})$  did not involve the induction hypotheses.) This is the basis of a mathematical induction on  $k$ .

Namely, suppose that for all  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_{k-1}$ ,  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  has the desired property. Then we assert that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has the property for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M_k$  because the assumption made in the recursion induction is involved in the proof only for  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in  $M_{k-1}$ . This concludes the justification.

The important point to remember about recursion induction is that it says nothing about whether  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is defined.

5. Properties of the Functions of the Integers. In chapter 2, section 6 we defined some of the elementary functions of the integers recursively. We shall now prove some of their properties.

We defined

$$(1) \quad m+n = (n = 0 \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m'+n^-)$$

Theorem 5.1.  $m+0 = m$

Proof.  $m+0 = (0 = 0 \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m'+0^-)$   
 $= (T \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m'+0^-)$   
 $= m$

Theorem 5.2.  $(m+n)' = m'+n$

Proof.  $m'+n = (n = 0 \rightarrow m', T \rightarrow (m')'+n^-)$

$$= (n = 0 \rightarrow m', T \rightarrow (m'+n^-)')$$

$$= (n = 0 \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m'+n^-)'$$

$$= (m+n)'$$

by substituting  $m'$  for  $m$  in (1)

recursion induction hypothesis with  $m'$  for  $m$

taking the successor function out of the conditional expression


Theorem 5.3.  $(m+n)+p = (m+p)+n$

Proof.  $(m+n)+p = (n = 0 \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m'+n)+p$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow m+p, T \rightarrow (m'+n^-)+p)$  distribution of function  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow m+p, T \rightarrow (m'+p)+n^-)$  recursion step  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow m+p, T \rightarrow (m+p)'+n^-)$  Theorem 5.2  
 $= (m+p)+n$

Setting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 5.3 gives

$$(0+n)+p = (0+p)+n$$

so that if we had  $0+m = m$  we would have commutativity of addition. In fact, we cannot prove  $0+m = m$  without making some assumptions that take into account that we are dealing with the integers. For suppose our space consisted of the vertices of the binary tree where  $m^+$  is the vertex just above and to the left and  $m^-$  is the vertex just below and



$0$  is the bottom of the tree.  $m+n$  can be defined as above and of course satisfies Theorems 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 but does not satisfy  $0+m = m$ .

We shall make the following assumptions:

1.  $m^+ \neq 0$
2.  $(m^+)^- = m$
3.  $(m \neq 0) \supset ((m^-)^+ = m)$

which embody all of Peano's axioms except the induction axiom.

Theorem 5.4.  $0+n = n$

Proof.  $0+n = (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0'+n^-)$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow n, T \rightarrow (0+n^-)')$  Theorem 5.2  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow n, T \rightarrow (n^-)')$  induction hypothesis  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow n, T \rightarrow n)$  axiom 3  
 $= n$

Theorem 5.5.  $m+n = n+m$

Proof. By Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 as remarked above.

Theorem 5.6.  $(m+n)+p = m+(n+p)$

Proof.  $(m+n)+p = (m+p)+n$  Theorem 5.3  
 $= (p+m)+n$  Theorem 5.5  
 $= (p+n)+m$  Theorem 5.3  
 $= m+(n+p)$  Theorem 5.5 twice

We now have some theorems concerning the product  
 $m \times n = (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m+m \times n^-)$

Theorem 5.7.  $m \times 0 = 0$

Proof.  $m \times 0 = (0 = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m, m \times 0^-)$   
 $= 0$

Theorem 5.8.  $0 \times n = 0$

Proof.  $0 \times n = (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0+0 \times n^-)$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0 \times n^-)$  Theorem 5.4  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0)$  recursion induction hypothesis  
 $= 0$

Theorem 5.9.  $m \times n = n \times m$

Proof.  $m \times n = (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m+m \times n^-)$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m+n \times m)$  induction  
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m+(m = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow n^-+n^- \times m^-))$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow (m = 0 \rightarrow m+0, T \rightarrow m+n^-+n^- \times m^-))$   
 $= (n = 0 \rightarrow 0, m = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow (m^-+n^-+n^- \times m^-))'$   
 $= (m = 0 \rightarrow 0, n = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow (n^-+m^-+m^- \times n^-))'$

The steps can now be worked backwards with  $m$  and  $n$  interchanged.

Theorem 5.10.  $m \times n^+ = m + m \times n$

Proof.  $m \times n^+ = (n^+ = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m + m \times (n^+)^-)$   
 $= m + m \times n$

Theorem 5.11.  $m \times (n+p) = mn + mp$

Proof.  $m \times (n+p) = m(p = 0 \rightarrow n, T \rightarrow n^+ + p^-)$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow mn, T \rightarrow m(n^+ + p^-))$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow mn, T \rightarrow mn^+ + mp^-)$  induction hypothesis  
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow mn, T \rightarrow mn + (m + mp^-))$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow mn, T \rightarrow mn + (p = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m + mp^-))$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow mn + mp, T \rightarrow mn + mp)$   
 $= mn + mp$

Theorem 5.12.  $(mn)p = m(np)$

Proof.  $(mn)p = (p = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow mn + (mn)p^-)$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow mn + m(np^-))$   
 $= (p = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow m(n + np^-))$   
 $= m(p = 0 \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow n + np^-)$   
 $= m(np)$

This proof is by induction on  $p$ . Induction on  $m$  or  $n$  would also work.

We make the definition

$$m \leq n = (m = 0) \vee (n \neq 0) \wedge (m^- \leq n^-)$$

Theorem 5.13.  $0 \leq n$

Proof.  $0 \leq n = (0 = 0) \vee (n \neq 0) \wedge (0^- \leq n^-)$   
 $= T$

Theorem 5.14.  $(m+p \leq n+p) = (m \leq n)$

Proof.

$$(m+p \leq n+p) = (p = 0 \rightarrow m, T \rightarrow m' + p^-)$$

$$(p = 0 \rightarrow n, T \rightarrow n' + p^-)$$

$$= (p = 0 \rightarrow m \leq n, T \rightarrow m' + p^- \leq n' + p^-)$$

$$= (p = 0 \rightarrow m \leq n, T \rightarrow m' \leq n')$$

$$= (p = 0 \rightarrow m \leq n, T \rightarrow (m' = 0) \vee \sim$$

$$(p' = 0) \wedge ((m')^- \leq (n')^-)$$

$$= (p = 0 \rightarrow m \leq n, T \rightarrow m' \leq n)$$

$$= m \leq n$$

## 6. Properties of Functions of Symbolic Expressions. In

this section we shall use recursion induction to prove a number of properties of functions of symbolic expressions. We start with the basic identities

$$\text{car}[\text{cons}[x;y]] = x$$

$$\text{cdr}[\text{cons}[x;y]] = y$$

$$\text{atom}[x] \vee [\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]] = x]$$

$$\sim \text{atom}[\text{cons}[x;y]]$$

$$\text{null}[x] = x[x = \text{NIL}]$$

where in this section we use  $=$  for equality of S-expressions in general and not just for atomic S-expressions.

We shall write  $x*y$  for  $\text{conc}[x;y]$  and our first objective is to prove that concatenation is associative, i.e.

Theorem 6.1.  $[x*y]*z = x*[y*z]$

Proof.

The definition of  $x*y$  can be written

$$x*y = [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow y; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]$$

We have

$$\text{null}[x*y] = [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{null}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{null}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]]$$

$$= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{null}[y]; T \rightarrow F]$$

$$= \text{null}[x] \wedge \text{null}[y]$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{car}[x*y] &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{car}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{car}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{car}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{car}[x]] \\ \text{cdr}[x*y] &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{cdr}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{cdr}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{cdr}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{cdr}[x]*y] \end{aligned}$$

Now we write

$$\begin{aligned} [x*y]z &= [\text{null}[x*y] \rightarrow z; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x*y]; \text{cdr}[x*y]*z]] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow [\text{null}[y] \rightarrow z; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x*y]; \text{cdr}[x*y]*z]]; \\ &\quad T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x*y]; \text{cdr}[x*y]*z]] \end{aligned}$$

We substitute the above derived results for  $\text{car}[x*y]$  and  $\text{cdr}[x*y]$  making use of the fact that the first occurrences of these quantities have  $\text{null}[x]$  as a premise and the second occurrences have  $\neg \text{null}[x]$  as a premise. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} [x*y]*z &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow [\text{null}[y] \rightarrow z; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[y]; \text{cdr}[y]*z]]; \\ &\quad T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; [\text{cdr}[x]*y]*z] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow y*z; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*[y*z]]] \\ &= x*[y*z] \end{aligned}$$

Next we have

Theorem 6.2.  $\text{NIL}*x = x$   
 $x*\text{NIL} = x$

Proof.  $\text{NIL}*x = [\text{null}[\text{NIL}] \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[\text{NIL}];$   
 $\text{cdr}[\text{NIL}]*x]]$   
 $= x$

$$\begin{aligned} x*\text{NIL} &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \\ &\quad \text{cdr}[x]*\text{NIL}]] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]]] \\ &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow x] \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider a function reverse defined by  
 $\text{reverse}[x] = [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[x]]*\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]]$   
 which reverses the order of terms in a list.



Theorem 6.3.  $\text{reverse}[x*y] = \text{reverse}[y]*\text{reverse}[x]$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{reverse}[x*y] &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{reverse}[y]; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \\
 &\quad \text{cdr}[x]*y]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{reverse}[y]; T \rightarrow [\text{null}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \\
 &\quad \text{cdr}[x]*y]] \rightarrow \text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]]*\text{cons}[ \\
 &\quad \text{car}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]*y]]; \text{NIL}]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{reverse}[y]*\text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[ \\
 &\quad x]*y]*\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{reverse}[y]*\text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[y]* \\
 &\quad \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[x]]*\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]] \\
 &= \text{reverse}[y]*[\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[ \\
 &\quad x]*\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]] \\
 &= \text{reverse}[y]*\text{reverse}[x]
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.4.  $\text{reverse}[\text{reverse}[x]] = x$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{reverse}[\text{reverse}[x]] &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{NIL}]; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[ \\
 &\quad \text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[x]]*\text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow \text{NIL}; T \rightarrow \text{reverse}[\text{cons}[\text{car}[ \\
 &\quad x]; \text{NIL}]]*\text{reverse}[\text{reverse}[\text{cdr}[x]]]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{NIL}]*\text{cdr}[x]] \\
 &= [\text{null}[x] \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{car}[x]; \text{cdr}[x]]] \\
 &= x
 \end{aligned}$$

In chapter 2, we defined

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{equal}[x;y] &= \text{atom}[x] \wedge \text{atom}[y] \wedge x = y \wedge \neg \text{atom}[x] \wedge \text{equal}[\text{cons}[x]; \\
 &\quad \text{car}[y] \wedge \text{equal}[\text{cdr}[x]; \text{cdr}[y]] \\
 \text{subst}[x;y;z] &= [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow [z = y \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow z]; T \rightarrow \text{cons}[\text{subst}[x;y; \\
 &\quad \text{car}[z]]; \text{subst}[x;y;\text{cdr}[z]]]
 \end{aligned}$$

We now define

$$\text{free}[y;z] = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow z \neq y; T \rightarrow \text{free}[y;\text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y;\text{cdr}[z]]]$$

We have

Theorem 6.5.  $\text{free}[y; z] \supset \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; z]; z]$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{free}[y; z] \supset \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; z]; z] = [\text{free}[y; z] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; z]; z]; T \rightarrow T] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow \{\text{free}[y; z] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; z]; z]; T \rightarrow T\}; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; z] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; z]; z]; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow \{z \neq y \rightarrow \text{equal}[[z = y \rightarrow x; T \rightarrow z]; z]; T \rightarrow T\}; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{cons}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{car}[z]]; \text{subst}[x; y; \text{cdr}[z]]]; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow \{z \neq y \rightarrow \text{equal}[z; z]; T \rightarrow T\}; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{car}[\text{cons}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{car}[z]]; \text{subst}[x; y; \text{cdr}[z]]]; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{equal}[\text{cdr}[\text{cons}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{car}[z]]; \text{subst}[x; y; \text{cdr}[z]]]; \text{cdr}[z]]; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & \text{where we used the definition of } \underline{\text{equal}} \text{ and } \text{atom } z \text{ and } \neg \text{atom}[\text{cons}[u; v]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow T; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[T; y; \text{car}[z]]; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{cdr}[z]]; \text{cdr}[z]]; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow T; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{car}[z]]; \text{car}[z]]; T \rightarrow T] \wedge [\text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow \text{equal}[\text{subst}[x; y; \text{cdr}[z]]; \text{cdr}[z]]; T \rightarrow T]; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow T; T \rightarrow [\text{free}[y; \text{car}[z]] \wedge \text{free}[y; \text{cdr}[z]] \rightarrow T \wedge T; T \rightarrow T]] \\
 & = [\text{atom}[z] \rightarrow T; T \rightarrow T] \\
 & = T
 \end{aligned}$$

**CS-TR Scanning Project**  
**Document Control Form**

Date : 11/30/95

Report # AIM - 15

Each of the following should be identified by a checkmark:

Originating Department:

- ☒ Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (AI)  
☐ Laboratory for Computer Science (LCS)

Document Type:

- ☐ Technical Report (TR)    ☒ Technical Memo (TM)  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Document Information**

Number of pages: 10 (14 - IMAGES)  
Not to include DOD forms, printer instructions, etc... original pages only.

Originals are:

- ☒ Single-sided or  
☐ Double-sided

Intended to be printed as :

- ☒ Single-sided or  
☐ Double-sided

Print type:

- ☐ Typewriter    ☐ Offset Press    ☐ Laser Print  
☐ InkJet Printer    ☐ Unknown    ☒ Other: COPY OF MIMEOGRAPH

Check each if included with document:

- ☐ DOD Form    ☐ Funding Agent Form    ☐ Cover Page  
☐ Spine    ☐ Printers Notes    ☐ Photo negatives  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Page Data:

Blank Pages (by page number): \_\_\_\_\_

Photographs/Tonal Material (by page number): \_\_\_\_\_

Other (note description/page number):

Description :

Page Number:

IMAGE MAP: (1-10) 1-10  
(11-14) SCANCONTROL  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Scanning Agent Signoff:

Date Received: 11/30/95    Date Scanned: 12/13/95

Date Returned: 12/14/95

Scanning Agent Signature: Michael W. Cook

# Scanning Agent Identification Target

Scanning of this document was supported in part by the **Corporation for National Research Initiatives**, using funds from the **Advanced Research Projects Agency** of the **United states Government** under Grant: **MDA972-92-J1029**.

The scanning agent for this project was the **Document Services** department of the **M.I.T Libraries**. Technical support for this project was also provided by the **M.I.T. Laboratory for Computer Sciences**.

